

Empirical Regularized Optimal Transport: Statistical Theory and Applications

DAGStat Conference 2019: Statistics under one umbrella

<u>Marcel Klatt</u> Carla Tameling Axel Munk March 19, 2019

Institute for Mathematical Stochastics University of Göttingen



References:

M. Klatt, C. Tameling and A. Munk Empirical Regularized Optimal Transport: Statistical Theory and Applications, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), to appear, 2019.



Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \ge 1$
- Find the most efficient way to transport measure r into s.



Transport plan (coupling of r and s):

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & & \pi_{14} \\ & \pi_{22} & & \\ & & \pi_{33} & \\ & & \pi_{41} & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \ge 1$
- Find the most efficient way to transport measure r into s.



Transport plan (coupling of *r* and *s*):

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} & & \pi_{14} \\ & \pi_{22} & & \\ & & \pi_{33} & \\ & & \pi_{41} & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \geq 1$
- Find the most efficient way to transport measure r into s.
- Solve the standard linear program

$$\min_{\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{j}) \pi_{ij}$$

s.t. $\pi \mathbb{1}_{N} = \mathbf{r}, \pi^{T} \mathbb{1}_{N} = \mathbf{s}$
 $\pi \ge 0.$

The **Wasserstein distance** of order $p \ge 1$ is defined as

$$W_p(r,s) := \left\{ \min_{\pi \in \Pi(r,s)} \sum_{i,j=1}^N d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j) \pi_{ij} \right\}^{1/p}.$$

The Wasserstein distance of order $p \ge 1$ is defined as

$$W_p(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}) \coloneqq \left\{ \min_{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s})} \sum_{i,j=1}^N d^p(\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{y}_j) \pi_{ij} \right\}^{1/p}.$$

In general, the computational cost to calculate the Wasserstein distance is of order $\mathcal{O}(N^3 \log(N))$. There exist some workarounds and we focus on:

Regularization methods (*Cuturi (2013*), *Dessein et al. (2016*))



Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \geq 1$
- Entropy: $E(\pi) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \pi_{ij} \log(\pi_{ij})$



Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \ge 1$
- Entropy: $E(\pi) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \pi_{ij} \log(\pi_{ij})$
- For $\lambda > 0$ find the (*unique*) entropy regularized transport plan

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{j}) \pi_{ij} - \lambda E(\pi) \,.$$



 λ large

(Regularized) Transport plan:

 $\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}) \approx \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}}$

Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \ge 1$
- Entropy: $E(\pi) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \pi_{ij} \log(\pi_{ij})$
- For $\lambda > 0$ find the (*unique*) entropy regularized transport plan

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},s) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{r},s)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}) \pi_{ij} - \lambda E(\pi) \,.$$



 λ intermediate

(Regularized) Transport plan:

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{11} & \pi_{12} & \pi_{13} & \pi_{14} \\ \pi_{21} & \pi_{22} & \pi_{23} & \pi_{24} \\ \pi_{31} & \pi_{32} & \pi_{33} & \pi_{34} \\ \pi_{41} & \pi_{42} & \pi_{43} & \pi_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \ge 1$
- Entropy: $E(\pi) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \pi_{ij} \log(\pi_{ij})$
- For $\lambda > 0$ find the (*unique*) entropy regularized transport plan

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},s) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{r},s)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}) \pi_{ij} - \lambda E(\pi) \,.$$



 λ small (Regularized) Transport plan:

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{11} & \pi_{12} & \pi_{13} & \pi_{14} \\ \pi_{21} & \pi_{22} & \pi_{23} & \pi_{24} \\ \pi_{31} & \pi_{32} & \pi_{33} & \pi_{34} \\ \pi_{41} & \pi_{42} & \pi_{43} & \pi_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

Finite discrete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d)

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \mathbf{s} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_j \delta_{\mathbf{y}_j}$$

- Transport costs $d^p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ for $p \ge 1$
- Entropy: $E(\pi) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \pi_{ij} \log(\pi_{ij})$
- For $\lambda > 0$ find the (*unique*) entropy regularized transport plan

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},s) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{r},s)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}) \pi_{ij} - \lambda E(\pi) \,.$$

The **Sinkhorn divergence** of order $p \ge 1$ is defined as

$$W_{p,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) \coloneqq \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \, d^p(\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{y}_j) \pi_\lambda(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s})_{ij}
ight\}^{1/p} \, .$$

The **Sinkhorn divergence** of order $p \ge 1$ is defined as

$$W_{p,\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}) \coloneqq \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i},\boldsymbol{y}_{j}) \pi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s})_{ij}
ight\}^{1/p}.$$

- Efficient matrix scaling algorithm (Sinkhorn algorithm) to approximate $\pi_{\lambda}(r, s)$ (*Cuturi (2013)*)
- Rates for approximation (Luise et al. (2018)):

$$\|\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) - \pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})\| \leq C_1 \exp\left(-rac{1}{\lambda}
ight) \,,$$

where $\pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = \underset{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{ E(\pi), W_p^p(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = \langle \pi, d^p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rangle \}$ and

$$|W_{p,\lambda}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}) - W_p(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s})| \leq C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}
ight)$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ be a finite space with metric $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+$. Assume, we only have access to the measure r through its corresponding empirical version

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{X_{i}}$$

derived by a sample of \mathcal{X} -valued random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} r$.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ be a finite space with metric $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+$. Assume, we only have access to the measure r through its corresponding empirical version

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{X_{i}}$$

derived by a sample of \mathcal{X} -valued random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} r$.

Central question:

How do the random quantities $\pi_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s})$ and $W_{p,\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s})$ relate to $\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})$ and $W_{p,\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})$, respectively?

The empirical regularized transport plan is defined as

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n}, \mathbf{s}) = \arg\min_{\pi \in \Pi(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n}, \mathbf{s})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \pi_{ij} - \lambda E(\pi).$$

The empirical regularized transport plan is defined as

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n}, \mathbf{s}) = \arg\min_{\pi \in \Pi(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n}, \mathbf{s})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}) \pi_{ij} - \lambda E(\pi).$$

Theorem (K., Tameling & Munk (2019)) With the sample size n approaching infinity, it holds for r = s and $r \neq s$ that

$$\sqrt{n}\left\{\pi_{\lambda}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{n}},\boldsymbol{s})-\pi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s})\right\} \stackrel{\mathfrak{D}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}_{N^{2}}(\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}|\boldsymbol{s})).$$

The empirical regularized transport plan is defined as

$$\pi_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n}, \mathbf{s}) = \arg\min_{\pi \in \Pi(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n}, \mathbf{s})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d^{p}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}) \pi_{ij} - \lambda E(\pi).$$

Theorem (K., Tameling & Munk (2019)) With the sample size *n* approaching infinity, it holds for r = s and $r \neq s$ that

$$\sqrt{n}\left\{\pi_{\lambda}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\boldsymbol{n}},\boldsymbol{s})-\pi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s})\right\} \stackrel{\mathfrak{D}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}_{N^{2}}(0,\Sigma_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}|\boldsymbol{s})).$$

Remark

Limit distributions for the (non-regularized) transport plan ($\lambda = 0$) are not known.

Limit Law for Sinkhorn Divergence

The empirical Sinkhorn divergence is defined as

$$W_{\rho,\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n,s) := \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N d^p(x_i,x_j) \pi_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n,s)_{ij} \right\}^{1/\rho}$$

٠

Limit Law for Sinkhorn Divergence

The empirical Sinkhorn divergence is defined as

$$W_{p,\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s}) := \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N d^p(x_i, x_j) \pi_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s})_{ij} \right\}^{1/p}$$

٠

Theorem (K., Tameling & Munk (2019))

With the sample size n approaching infinity, it holds for r=s and $r\neq s$ that

$$\sqrt{n} \{ W_{\rho,\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s}) - W_{\rho,\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, \sigma_{\lambda}^2(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{s})) \}$$

Limit Law for Sinkhorn Divergence

The empirical Sinkhorn divergence is defined as

$$W_{p,\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s}) \coloneqq \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N d^p(x_i, x_j) \pi_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s})_{ij} \right\}^{1/p}$$

٠

Theorem (K., Tameling & Munk (2019))

With the sample size n approaching infinity, it holds for r = s and $r \neq s$ that

$$\sqrt{n} \{ W_{\rho,\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{s}) - W_{\rho,\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, \sigma_{\lambda}^2(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{s})) \}$$

Remark

Limit laws for Wasserstein (Sommerfeld & Munk (2018)) are in general not Gaussian, e.g.

$$n^{1/2p} W_p(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n, \mathbf{r}) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}} \left\{ \max_{u \in \Phi^*} \langle G, u \rangle \right\}^{1/p}$$



(a) ATP Synthase



(b) MIC60

Figure 1: Staining of two different proteins (*Jakobs lab, Department of NanoBiophotonics, Max-Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen*)

Aim: Analyse the interaction between fluorescently-labeled molecules by quantifying the co-occurrence and correlation between them.

Conventional methods:

 Pixel based intensity correlation analysis (Pearson's correlation coefficient) or co-occurence (Manders' split coefficients)

Conventional methods:

- Pixel based intensity correlation analysis (Pearson's correlation coefficient) or co-occurence (Manders' split coefficients)
- These methods are very sensitive to the resolution of the images to be compared.



Figure 2: Simulation of Confocal and STED images of two proteins which are located at a distance of 45 nm. The resolution of the confocal image is 244 nm and for the STED image it is 40 nm.

Our approach:

■ Colocalization measure RCol based on (regularized) optimal transport for t ∈ [0, diam(X)]

$$\operatorname{RCol}(\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}))(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s})_{ij} \mathbb{1}\{d^{p}(x_{i},x_{j}) \leq t\}.$$

Our approach:

■ Colocalization measure RCol based on (regularized) optimal transport for t ∈ [0, diam(X)]

$$\operatorname{RCol}(\pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}))(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})_{ij} \mathbb{1}\{d^{p}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \leq t\}.$$

Theorem (K., Tameling & Munk (2019)) Let $\widehat{RCol}_n := RCol(\pi_\lambda(\hat{r}_n, s))$ be the empirical regularized colocalization. As $n \to \infty$ it holds that

$$\sqrt{n}\left\{\widehat{RCol}_n - RCol\right\} \stackrel{\mathfrak{D}}{\longrightarrow} RCol(G)$$

with G the random variable with distribution given by the limit law for the empirical regularized transport plan. This yields $1 - \alpha$ approximate uniform confidence bands, i.e. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{RCol} \in \mathcal{I}_n) = 1 - \alpha$, where

$$\mathcal{I}_{n} := \left[-\frac{\mathfrak{u}_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + \widehat{\mathsf{RCol}}_{n}, \, \frac{\mathfrak{u}_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + \widehat{\mathsf{RCol}}_{n} \right]$$

and $\mathfrak{u}_{1-\alpha}$ is the $1-\alpha$ quantile from the distribution of $\|\mathsf{RCol}(G)\|_{\infty}$.

The quantile μ_{1-α} can be consistently approximated by its *n* out of *n* bootstrap analogue.









Figure 3: Staining of two different proteins: Image size 666×666 pixels, pixel size = 15nm. Middle: Zoom ins (128×128 pixels).



Figure 4: Staining of ATP Synthase and MIC60 for the zoom ins (128 × 128 images). The sampled regularized colocalization ($\lambda = 0.01$) (solid blue line, subsampling n = 2000) with bootstrap confidence bands (gray area between dashed blue lines) based on the *n* out of *n* bootstrap with B = 100 replications and $\alpha = 0.05$. Red solid line: Population regularized colocalization.

- Limit laws for the empirical regularized optimal transport plan and its corresponding Sinkhorn divergence.
- Consistency of the *n* **out of** *n* **bootstrap**.
- The results hold for more **general regularizers**.
- Application to **Colocalization** analysis.